



# City Model Rubric

0	1	2	3	4	5
<b>No Points</b> Requirements missing.	<b>Poor</b> Poor–fair quality. Fulfills at least 20% of requirements.	<b>Fair</b> Fair–average quality. Fulfills at least 50% of requirements.	<b>Good</b> Average quality. Fulfills at least 85% of requirements.	<b>Very Good</b> Above average quality. Fulfills 95% of requirements.	<b>Excellent</b> Excellent quality. Fulfills 100% of requirements with additional distinctive features.

I. City Design (30 Points)	0	1	2	3	4	5
<b>1. City zoning</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Includes the three primary zones: residential, commercial, and industrial</li> </ul>	No evidence of zoning. No variety of structures.	Zoning unclear. Little variety of structures. Only one type of zone presented.	Somewhat clear zones. Small variety of structures. Only two types of zones presented.	Fairly clear zones, some variety of structures. At least three zones.	Clear zones and some variety of structures. Could be more comprehensive. At least three zones.	Clear and thorough zones. Excellent variety of recognizable structures. At least three zones.
<b>2. City infrastructure</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Could include water, power, utilities, etc.</li> <li>Connection to chosen climate change impact</li> </ul>	No infrastructure examples.	Poor examples. Unrelated to Climate Change Challenge.	Some examples. Does not address needs of the Climate Change Challenge.	Fairly clear examples. Not strongly related to Climate Change Challenge.	Clear examples. Related to Climate Change Challenge.	Clear and thorough examples. Deeply related to the Climate Change Challenge.
<b>3. City services</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Could include healthcare, education, etc.</li> <li>Essential to city operations</li> </ul>	No city service examples.	Poor examples of services. Unrelated to city operations.	Some examples of services. Barely related to city operations.	Fairly clear examples of services. Slightly related to city operations.	Clear examples of services. Moderately related to city operations.	Clear and thorough examples of services. Essential to city operations.
<b>4. Transportation system</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Variety of transportation modes</li> <li>Transportation system(s) addresses the needs of the city and its residents</li> </ul>	No transportation system.	Poor description of one mode of transportation. Does not address the transportation needs of the city.	Fair description of one or two modes. Overall system does not meet the needs of city.	Good description of one or two modes of transportation. Overall system meets most needs of the city.	Very good description of at least two modes of transportation. System addresses needs of city and its residents but could be more thorough.	Excellent description of two or more modes of transportation. System fully and thoroughly addresses needs of city and its residents.
<b>5. Model Demonstrates Climate Change Adaptation:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reduction of harmful impact(s) of climate change</li> </ul>	No illustration of adaptation.	Little illustration of adaptation.	Some illustration of adaptation.	Fairly clear illustration of adaptation.	Clear illustration of adaptation.	Clear and thorough illustration of adaptation.
<b>6. Model Illustrates Mitigation Solution</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Illustrates process for lowering greenhouse gases released into the atmosphere</li> </ul>	No mitigation solution.	Little illustration of mitigation solution. Not integrated into city design.	Some illustration of mitigation solution. Poorly integrated into city design.	Fairly clear illustration of mitigation solution. Fairly well integrated into city design.	Clear illustration of mitigation solution. Well integrated into city design and effective.	Clear and thorough illustration of mitigation strategy. Extremely well integrated into city design and highly effective.

Deliverable: City Model

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE



DOWNLOAD THIS RUBRIC AT [DASHBOARD.FUTURECITY.ORG](https://dashboard.futurecity.org)

# City Model Rubric

<b>0</b> <b>No Points</b> Requirements missing.	<b>1</b> <b>Poor</b> Poor–fair quality. Fulfills at least 20% of requirements.	<b>2</b> <b>Fair</b> Fair–average quality. Fulfills at least 50% of requirements.	<b>3</b> <b>Good</b> Average quality. Fulfills at least 85% of requirements.	<b>4</b> <b>Very Good</b> Above average quality. Fulfills 95% of requirements.	<b>5</b> <b>Excellent</b> Excellent quality. Fulfills 100% of requirements. Additional distinctive features.
---	--	---	--	--	--

Deliverable:  
City Model

II. Build It: Quality, Scale, & Materials (20 Points)	0	1	2	3	4	5
<b>7. Innovative Construction</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Variety of materials</li> <li>Imaginative, unusual, or noteworthy materials</li> <li>Creative modifications of recycled materials</li> </ul>	No creativity or innovation. No recycled/repurposed materials.	Very little creativity and modification of materials. Very little variety of materials. Many materials are purchased.	Fairly creative modifications. Some variety of materials, but could be improved. Few recycled/repurposed materials.	Good creativity shown via modified materials. Good variety of materials. Features recycled/repurposed materials.	Very good creativity. Very good variety of materials that are creatively modified. Many materials are recycled/repurposed.	Excellent creativity. Wide variety of materials that are creatively modified. Few purchased items. Most materials are recycled/repurposed.
<b>8. Appearance</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of color, graphics, shapes, etc.</li> <li>Realistic elements (flora, fauna, landscapes)</li> <li>Age appropriate for 6th, 7th, 8th grades</li> </ul>	No aesthetics.	Poor aesthetics.	Fair aesthetics. Age appropriate.	Good aesthetics. Age appropriate.	Very good aesthetics that enhance overall city feel. Age appropriate.	Excellent and realistic aesthetics that enhance the overall city feel. Age appropriate.
<b>9. Model scale</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurate demonstration of scale</li> <li>Up to 4 different scales may be used, but all should be clearly identifiable and consistently applied</li> </ul>	Scale not provided. Or more than 4 different scales are used.	Scale(s) are not accurate or consistent.	Scale(s) somewhat consistent and accurate. Multiple mistakes.	Scale(s) mostly consistent. Mistakes are minimal.	Scale(s) consistent and chosen to demonstrate the scope and context of the city clearly.	Scale(s) are extremely consistent and chosen to demonstrate the scope and context of the city clearly and thoroughly.
<b>10. Moving part</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Related to design or function of city.</li> <li>Quality workmanship, durability</li> <li>Description of part</li> <li>Successful demonstration of movement</li> </ul>	No moving part	Moving part cosmetic; not related to city function. No description of how the team built the moving part.	Moving part not relevant to city function. Unclear or confusing description of how the part was built.	Moving part somewhat related to city function. Good description of how the team built the moving part.	Moving part is related to city function. Very good description of how the team built the moving part.	Moving part is essential to city function. Excellent description of how the team built the moving part.

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

# City Model Rubric

<b>0</b> <b>No Points</b> Requirements missing.	<b>1</b> <b>Poor</b> Poor–fair quality. Fulfills at least 20% of requirements.	<b>2</b> <b>Fair</b> Fair–average quality. Fulfills at least 50% of requirements.	<b>3</b> <b>Good</b> Average quality. Fulfills at least 85% of requirements.	<b>4</b> <b>Very Good</b> Above average quality. Fulfills 95% of requirements.	<b>5</b> <b>Excellent</b> Excellent quality. Fulfills 100% of requirements. Additional distinctive features.
---	--	---	--	--	--

III. Judge Assessment of Model (10 Points)	0	1	2	3	4	5
<b>11. Application of Futuristic, Advanced Technologies</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Includes futuristic technologies</li> <li>Important to function of the city</li> </ul>	No futuristic examples.	Poor futuristic examples. Not important to the function of the city.	Fair futuristic examples. Somewhat related to function of the city.	Good futuristic examples. Related to function of the city.	Very good futuristic examples. Important to the function of the city.	Excellent futuristic examples. Very important to the function of the city.
<b>12. Overall innovation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>City design</li> <li>Addresses climate change</li> </ul>	No innovation.	Innovation is poorly presented overall in model.	Innovation is presented fairly well overall in model.	Innovation is presented well overall in model.	Innovation is presented very well overall in model.	Innovation is presented excellently throughout model.

Deliverable:  
City Model